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## Outline

- Key statistics on heart health in women - globally and in Australia
- Differences in heart disease between men and women:
- Risk factors
- Presentation
- Treatment
- Key messages and strategies to improve outcomes for women with heart disease


# Burden of Cardiovascular Disease in Women and their Associated Risk Factors 

## Global Burden of Cardiovascular Disease in Women

## Cardiovascular disease in women

## 35\%

of all deaths in women
worldwide are caused by cardiovascular disease

## 275 million

women were diagnosed with cardiovascular disease in 2019

## 8.9 million

women died from cardiovascular disease in 2019

The Lancet Commission on Women and Heart Disease (2020)

## Cardiovascular Disease in Australian Women

## More than half a million Australian women have cardiovascular disease

An estimated 510,000 (4.8\%) Australian women aged 18 and over reported 1 or more heart, stroke and vascular diseases in 2017-2018

Around 22,200 women per year have an acute coronary event (heart attack or unstable angina) (2016), and 17,900 women have a stroke (2015).

## Cardiovascular Disease in Australian Women

Indigenous women are up to twice as likely to have CVD, and to die from coronary heart disease or stroke

$$
22,200 \text { women died from }
$$

CVD in 2016—or 3 in 10 of all female deaths

CVD accounted for $12 \%$ of the total burden of disease among Australian women in 2015

CVD hospitalisation rates for females fell overall between 2006-07 and 2015-16 but increased among younger women

# Yet cardiovascular disease among women has historically been... 

understudied, under-recognised, underdiagnosed, undertreated, and women are under-represented in The Lancet Commission clinical trials.

We need to better understand sex and gender differences in heart disease if we are to 'close the gender gap' in cardiovascular care

## Traditional Risk Factors



## Traditional CVD Risk Factors in Women

Diabetes confers a 45\% higher risk of coronary heart disease in women then men

8Smoking confers a $25 \%$ higher risk of coronary heart disease in women then men

## Traditional CVD Risk Factors in Women



Only $48 \%$ of older women with hypertension have adequate blood pressure control


Women with hyperlipidaemia receive lower preventive medications e.g. statins, compared to men


Women are more sedentary than men, and gap in activity worsens with age

## Emerging Risk Factors in Women

Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP)
Pre-eclampsia
Gestational diabetes


Preterm birth
Premature menopause (age <44)
Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome (PCOS)
-> 2-3-fold increased risk of cardiovascular disease, independent of other traditional risk factors

## Emerging CVD Risk Factors in Women - what do we do about them? <br> https://www.cvdcheck.org.au/what-is-absolute-risk

For individuals 45 years and over (30 years for First Nations people), absolute risk is chance of developing CVD over the next 5 years, taking into account sex, age, SBP, smoking status, TC/HDL, diabetic status and LVH on ECG.

Determines who qualifies for more intensive medical therapy (statins, blood pressure-lowering)


## Emerging CVD Risk Factors in Women - what do we do about them? <br> ACC/ AHA new primary prevention CVD guidelines - Uses Pooled Cohort Equation (updated cohort, calculator includes race and treatment (HT, aspirin, statin) <br> - Consider risk enhancing factors for clinician-patient risk discussion - Identifies utility of CT Calcium Scoring in reclassifying CVD risk for those at intermediate risk

http://tools.acc.org/ASCVD-Risk-Estimator-Plus/\#!/calculate/estimate/ Arnett DK, et al 2019 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;Mar 17

## CT Coronary Artery Calcium Scoring

Useful in intermediate risk
 patients with risk enhancing factors
ie women with a history of -Pre-eclampsia/HDP -GDM -PCOS
-Premature menopause

- Low dose radiation (similar to a mammogram)
- Quick (<5 minutes)
- No IV contrast/cannula
- But - cost to patient as no medicare rebate (\$150600)


## CT Coronary Artery Calcium Scoring

| Racial or Ethnic Group | Major Coronary Event $\dagger$ |  |  | Any Coronary Event |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AUC for Risk Factors Alone | AUC for Risk Factors plus Coronary-Artery Calcium Score | P Value | AUC for Risk Factors Alone | AUC for Risk <br> Factors plus Coronary-Artery Calcium Score | P Value |
| White | 0.76 | 0.79 | 0.10 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.02 |
| Chinese | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.05 | 0.74 | 0.85 | <0.001 |
| Black | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.04 | 0.81 | 0.87 | 0.005 |
| Hispanic | 0.84 | 0.86 | 0.11 | 0.80 | 0.84 | 0.10 |
| Total | 0.79 | 0.83 | 0.006 | 0.77 | 0.82 | <0.001 |

* Separate models are fitted for each racial or ethnic group. AUC denotes area under the receiver-operating-characteristic curve. P values are for the comparison between AUC without and AUC with the coronary-artery calcium score.
$\dagger$ Major coronary events were myocardial infarction and death from coronary heart disease.
Detrano R Coronary Calcium and CV Risk MESA NEJM2008
RACGP


## Heart Disease in Women and Men - Acute Coronary Events

## Sex/Gender Differences in Heart Attack Symptoms

## The Global Registry of Acute Coronary <br> Events of 26,000 women and men with acute coronary syndromes

Women with heart attacks older ( $\sim 7$ years), more comorbidities (diabetes, HT, heart failure), less likely to have previous MI's

Less central chest pain (more jaw, back pain), more associated symptoms (nausea, vomiting, dizziness)

## RACGP

Common presenting symptoms in women with ACS.

| Frequency | Symptom(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Most common | Chest pain 92\% (vs 94\%) |
| Next most common | Jaw pain |
|  | Nausea |
| More atypical symptoms | Vomiting |
|  | Middle or upper back pain |
|  | Neck pain |
|  | Breathlessness |
|  | Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea |
|  | Indigestion |
|  | Loss of appetite |
|  | Weakness |
|  | Fatigue |
|  | Dizziness |
|  | Palpitations |

Healthy Profession.
Healthy Australia.

Young MI, 3,500 MI patients < 55 years of age

Interviewed regarding their symptoms \& presentation

Majority of men and women had chest pain, but women more likely to have additional non-chest pain symptoms

|  | Overall |  |  | Among Patients With STEMI |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women $(n=2009)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (\mathrm{n}=976) \end{gathered}$ | P* | Women $(n=922)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (n=563) \end{gathered}$ | P* |
| Individual symptoms, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chest pain, pressure, tightness, or discomfort | 87.0 | 89.5 | 0.185 | 87.3 | 91.5 | 0.092 |
| Dizziness | 28.0 | 26.3 | 0.774 | 29.5 | 28.1 | 1 |
| Epigastric: indigestion, nausea, or stomach pain, pressure, burning, or discomfort | 61.5 | 50.2 | <0.001 | 67.1 | 53.1 | <0.001 |
| Pain/discomfort in jaw, neck, arms, or between shoulder blades | 64.9 | 58.1 | 0.002 | 67.7 | 58.6 | 0.003 |
| Palpitations | 18.7 | 12.5 | <0.001 | 15.4 | 11.0 | 0.103 |
| Shortness of breath | 52.8 | 47.6 | 0.043 | 51.2 | 48.7 | 1 |
| Sweating | 53.3 | 55.5 | 0.774 | 62.1 | 63.1 | 1 |
| Weakness or fatigue | 45.2 | 40.9 | 0.142 | 46.1 | 43.7 | 1 |
| Confusion | 12.1 | 11.2 | 0.774 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 1 |
| Number of associated, non-chest pain symptoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean (SD) | 3.4 (2.0) | 3.0 (1.9) | $<0.001$ | 3.5 (1.9) | 3.2 (1.9) | 0.001 |
| 0 symptoms, \% | 5.6 | 6.7 | $<0.001$ | 4.2 | 5.7 | 0.009 |
| 1-2 symptoms, \% | 32.5 | 38.5 |  | 29.8 | 36.8 |  |
| 3-4 symptoms, \% | 33.0 | 33.4 |  | 36.2 | 33.6 |  |
| >4 symptoms, \% | 29.0 | 21.4 |  | 29.7 | 24.0 |  |

Care was sought for their cardiac symptoms before MI hospitalization in $\sim 30 \%$ of women
$53 \%$ of young women with consequent MI were told their symptoms were not heart-related, compared to $37 \%$ of men

|  | Women <br> $(\mathbf{n}=2009)$ | Men <br> $(\mathbf{n}=976)$ | $\boldsymbol{P}^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sought medical care for similar <br> symptoms, \% | 29.5 | 22.1 | $<0.001$ |
| Provider did not think symptoms <br> were heart-related, \% | 53.4 | 36.7 | $<0.001$ |


| Perceived cause of symptom, \% |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indigestion or acid reflux | 29.1 | 40.5 | 0.401 |
| Stress/anxiety | 25.0 | 15.2 | 0.401 |
| Muscle pain | 13.3 | 15.2 | 1 |
| Asthma | 14.9 | 10.1 | 1 |
| Stomach illness or flu | 5.1 | 3.8 | 1 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 5.7 | 2.5 | 1 |
| Fatigue | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.401 |
| Other | 7.3 | 3.8 | 1 |


| Among those with suspected symptoms of heart disease, \% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tested for a heart condition | 89.1 | 89.0 | 0.589 |
| Test showed evidence of a <br> heart condition | 57.4 | 56.6 | 0.268 |

## RACGP

## Sex/Gender Differences in Heart Attack Treatment

Based on state registry data in 30 hospitals in Victoria, after adjustment for confounders, it takes 25 minutes longer to open a blocked coronary artery in a woman, compared to a man


# Contribution of Clinician and Patient Bias in Heart Attack Symptom Assessment 

Objectively, chest pain remains the most common presenting symptom of an acute coronary syndrome in both men and women

Yet compared to men, women are:
More likely to take longer to present with heart attack symptoms (patient bias) More likely to bear the label of 'atypical' chest pain and receive delays to heart attack care (clinician bias)

## Biological Differences: Heart attacks in Women vs Men

Differing underlying causes for an acute coronary syndrome in men versus women

Female-predominant conditions that have only recently been better recognised

## ECG Differences in ST-Elevation MI (STEMI)

Women are more likely to have the right coronary artery occluded versus the left anterior descending artery in men with STEMI

Women can have more subtle ECG findings with anterior STEMIs

1.5 mm of ST elevation V2-V3 in women compared to $>2$ -
2.5 mm in men for diagnosis of STEMI


## MINOCA (Myocardial Infarction with Non-Obstructive Coronary Arteries)

Much more common in women then men

The major epicardial coronary arteries do not have major blockages

Heart attacks are a result of microvascular disease and endothelial dysfunction

## Biological Differences: Heart attacks in Women vs Men

## Plaque Erosion more common in women than men, which is less likely to cause obstructive epicardial disease, more subtle ECG changes <br> Contributes to higher MINOCA rates in women than men

RACGP


Eroded Plaque

- Proteoglycan, Glycosaminoglycan Rich
- Little Or No Lipid Core
- Neutrophils and NETs
- Many Smooth Muscle Cells
- Platelet-Rich Thrombus


## Ruptured Plaque

- Thin Fibrous Cap
- Collagen-Poor Fibrous Cap
- Large Lipid Core
- Many Macrophages
- Fibrin-Rich Thrombus



## MINOCA (Myocardial Infarction with Non-Obstructive Coronary Arteries)

The prognosis of MINOCA is not benign (slightly lower MACE but similar mortality to obstructive MI)

Due to the lack of epicardial stenosis leads to under-treatment with pharmacotherapy e.g. statins and dual antiplatelet therapy


Healthy Profession.
Healthy Australia.
Lindahl et akm Eurointervention, 2021

## Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection (SCAD)

Sudden dissection of the coronary artery, $90-95 \%$ women

- Accounts of $\sim 30 \%$ of heart attacks in women aged under 50

Strong female predominance; the majority without cardiovascular risk factors, often young or middle-aged Linked to genetic predisposition (fibromuscular dysplasia) and hormonal changes e.g pregnancy


## Takotsubo Syndrome (Stress-related Cardiomyopathy)

Accounts for $\sim 10 \%$ of 'heart attacks' in women aged over 50
Important to recognize as treatment is different to atherosclerotic MI, and most recovery
Also known as broken heart syndrome or 'stress-induced cardiomyopathy' due to its precipitation usually by an emotional or physical trigger causing a heart attack-like condition (elevated troponin, abnormal ECGs and marked apical left ventricle hypokinesis)
Affects $\sim 80-90 \%$ female predominance


But these biological differences unlikely to account for the totality of the gender gap in heart attack care


Perception in patient and provider that women are low risk for myocardial infarction

Half as likely to
Worse outcomes including bleeding, undergo invasive testing and treatment heart failure and mortality and 8\% more expected life years lost

## Secondary Prevention after a Heart Attack

## Cardiac Rehab

- $32 \%$ less likely to be referred to cardiac rehab
- Less likely to complete



## In Summary, Women with acute coronary syndromes, compared to men are....



More likely to have future MACE (OR
2.7) and to die (OR 2.2) at 6 months

More likely to be at higher risk when they present

Less likely to have coronary
angiography and coronary revascularization

More likely to have non-atherosclerotic causes of ACS or MINOCA

Less likely to receive statins or referral to cardiac rehab

## Barriers to Treatment in Women with Heart Disease



## Potential Solutions to Barriers?

Policies, Health Promotion activities, Media campaigns to raise awareness of heart disease in women and men


## Conclusions

We have made progress in women's heart health with better understanding of sex-specific risk factors and awareness of differences in presentation, treatment and outcomes of heart disease

We all need to be aware of these important differences between men and women with heart disease and, ensure equity in preventative and secondary treatment for cardiovascular disease

## Thank you

